Evidence Based Journal of Information Science
Volume 1 Issue 1 June 2025
ISSN: 1595-6148
https://journals.kasu.edu.ng/index.php/lib

TAILORING ONLINE HEALTH INFORMATION RETRIEVAL SYSTEMS TO DIVERSE USER NEEDS: A PERSONALIZATION PERSPECTIVE

Umar, Musa Yila (Ph.D)
musayila@yahoo.com
National Open University of Nigeria

Abstract
The digital revolution has transformed access to health information, driving the development of online health information retrieval systems that cater to individual user needs through personalization. This paper explores the growing reliance on these systems and the necessity for customization to enhance user experience and health outcomes. Personalization involves tailoring information based on user demographics, health literacy, cultural and linguistic backgrounds, health conditions, psychographics, and accessibility needs. Technologies like AI and machine learning play a critical role in advancing personalization by analysing user data to predict and deliver relevant content. Despite the benefits, challenges such as privacy concerns, algorithmic biases, and technical scalability issues persist. Evaluating the effectiveness of these systems through metrics like user engagement and health outcomes, and incorporating user feedback, ensures continuous improvement. The future of personalized health information retrieval lies in integrating emerging technologies, ensuring data privacy and ethical use, and adapting systems to diverse global contexts to achieve equitable health information access.

Keywords**:** Online health information, Retrieval systems, User needs, Digital age

Introduction

In the digital era, online health information retrieval systems have become crucial tools in healthcare, offering users easy access to personalized and relevant content. Personalization, which involves tailoring information based on user preferences, demographics, and behaviors, enhances both the user experience and health outcomes (Denecke & Gabarron, 2023). This need for customization is especially important given the diverse population relying on these systems, including users with varying levels of health literacy, cultural backgrounds, and specific health needs such as older adults or those with chronic conditions (Mackert, 2023).

Technological advancements, particularly in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML), have significantly improved the potential of personalized health information systems. These technologies analyze user data to predict preferences and deliver relevant content,

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

boosting user engagement and satisfaction (Yang, 2023). AI-driven systems have shown promising results in offering targeted health education and promoting preventive care and self-management (Lee, 2022). However, the deployment of such systems raises ethical and privacy concerns, especially around data security and the risk of algorithmic bias, which could compromise equity and trust (Richards & Hartzog, 2023).

To ensure the effectiveness of personalized systems, continuous user feedback and evaluation based on satisfaction, engagement, and health outcomes are essential (Smith & Karsh, 2022). The future points toward hyper-personalization through the integration of wearables, telehealth, and predictive analytics, which can offer anticipatory and context-specific health recommendations. As these innovations progress, they must be accompanied by evolving regulatory and ethical frameworks to ensure responsible, fair, and secure use of user data (Patel, 2023).

User Diversity in Health Information Retrieval

User diversity in health information retrieval is a critical consideration for the effective design and implementation of health information systems. This diversity spans various dimensions, including demographic variables, health literacy levels, cultural and linguistic backgrounds, specific health conditions, psychographic characteristics, and accessibility needs. Addressing these factors is essential to provide relevant, understandable, and actionable health information to all users.

a. Demographic Diversity

Demographic diversity includes differences in age, gender, education, and socioeconomic status. Each demographic group has distinct health information needs and preferences. For example, older adults may seek information on managing chronic illnesses such as diabetes or heart disease and may benefit from content that is clear, easy to read, and presented in larger fonts. Younger users, on the other hand, might be more interested in preventive health and wellness information, preferring interactive and digital formats like apps or social media (Mackert, 2023). Gender differences also play a role; men and women might prioritize different health topics based on their specific health concerns, such as prostate health versus breast health.

b. Health Literacy Levels

Health literacy is a crucial determinant of how individuals interact with health information. It refers to the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

needed to make appropriate health decisions. Users with low health literacy may struggle with medical terminology and complex health concepts, leading to misunderstandings and poor health outcomes. To cater to these users, health information should be simplified, using plain language and visual aids. Interactive tools such as videos and quizzes can also enhance understanding and engagement. For example, an online portal that offers easy-to-read articles and infographics can help bridge the literacy gap (Berkman, 2023).

c. Cultural and Linguistic Diversity

Cultural and linguistic diversity affects how health information is perceived and utilized. Cultural beliefs and practices influence health behaviours and attitudes toward medical treatments. Providing culturally relevant information and ensuring it is available in multiple languages can improve accessibility and effectiveness. For instance, culturally tailored health messages that incorporate traditional beliefs and practices can enhance acceptance and adherence to health recommendations among different cultural groups (Hale & Gonzalez, 2023). Linguistic diversity requires that health information be translated accurately and contextually into various languages to cater to non-native speakers and those with limited proficiency in the primary language of the content.

d. Diverse Health Conditions

Users have different health conditions, each requiring specific information tailored to their unique needs. For example, a person with diabetes may need detailed information on blood sugar management, diet, and exercise, while someone with a temporary condition like a cold may only need short-term care tips. Personalized information retrieval systems can address these needs by providing customized content based on the user's health profile. This ensures that users receive relevant advice and guidelines that are directly applicable to their condition, improving their ability to manage their health effectively (Shah & Toh, 2023).

e. Psychographic Diversity

Psychographic diversity involves understanding users' attitudes, values, interests, and lifestyles. These factors influence how individuals seek and use health information. For instance, users with a proactive health management approach may prefer detailed scientific articles and the latest research findings, while those with a more reactive approach may look for quick tips and straightforward advice. Health information systems can leverage psychographic data to segment users and provide tailored content that aligns with their

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

motivations and preferences (Kreuter & Wray, 2023). By doing so, the systems can increase engagement and ensure that the information provided is both relevant and motivating.

f. Accessibility Considerations

Accessibility is a fundamental aspect of health information retrieval, ensuring that all users, including those with disabilities, can access and benefit from the information. This includes providing content in various formats such as audio for visually impaired users, subtitles and sign language videos for hearing-impaired users, and ensuring that websites are compatible with screen readers. Complying with accessibility standards like the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) not only broadens the reach of health information but also promotes inclusivity, allowing everyone to benefit from the available resources (Wentz, 2023).

Personalization Techniques in Health Information Retrieval

Personalization techniques in health information retrieval systems are designed to deliver content that is highly relevant to individual users based on their unique characteristics, preferences, and behaviours. These techniques leverage various technologies and methodologies to enhance user experience, improve engagement, and support better health outcomes. Key personalization techniques include user profiling, machine learning and AI, adaptive content delivery, and the use of case studies and real-world examples.

a. User Profiling and Data Collection

User profiling is a fundamental technique in personalization that involves collecting and analysing user data to create detailed profiles. This data can include demographic information (age, gender, location), health status (conditions, medical history), behavioural patterns (search history, interactions with the system), and preferences (topics of interest, preferred formats). User profiling allows health information systems to tailor content to the specific needs and preferences of each user. For example, a user with diabetes may receive regular updates on blood sugar management, diet tips, and new treatments relevant to their condition (Yang, 2023).

b. Machine Learning and AI for Personalized Recommendations

Machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) are at the forefront of personalization in health information retrieval. These technologies analyse large datasets to identify patterns and predict user needs. Algorithms can recommend content based on users' past behaviours and preferences. For instance, collaborative filtering techniques, commonly used in recommendation systems, can suggest articles, videos, or other resources that similar users

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

have found helpful. Natural language processing (NLP) can be used to understand and respond to user queries in a more personalized manner, ensuring that the information provided is relevant and timely (Yang, 2023).

c. Adaptive Content Delivery

Adaptive content delivery involves dynamically adjusting the content and its presentation based on user interactions and feedback. This technique can include changing the complexity of the language, altering the format (text, video, infographics), and prioritizing information that is most relevant to the user's current context. For example, an adaptive system might provide more detailed scientific explanations to a healthcare professional while offering simplified summaries to a layperson. Real-time adaptation ensures that the content remains engaging and useful, catering to the evolving needs of the user (Smith & Karsh, 2022).

d. Personalized User Interfaces

Customizing the user interface (UI) based on user preferences and behaviours is another effective personalization technique. This can involve adjusting the layout, colour schemes, and navigation options to match user preferences, making the system more intuitive and easier to use. For instance, a personalized dashboard that highlights the user's frequently accessed information and preferred tools can enhance user experience and efficiency. Personalized UIs can also incorporate accessibility features tailored to users with disabilities, such as larger fonts, high-contrast modes, or voice commands (Wentz, 2023).

f. Context-Aware Personalization

Context-aware personalization considers the user's current situation and environment to provide relevant information. This can include factors such as location, time of day, and current health status. For example, a mobile health app might provide location-specific advice on nearby health facilities or local health alerts. Similarly, context-aware systems can remind users to take medication or perform health-related tasks at appropriate times based on their daily routines and schedules (Patel, 2023).

g. Case Studies and Real-World Examples

Implementing and refining personalization techniques often involves learning from case studies and real-world applications. Examining successful personalized health information systems provides valuable insights into best practices and common challenges. For instance, the MyFitnessPal app uses user data to offer personalized diet and exercise recommendations, while platforms like WebMD use user profiles to tailor health content and resources. These

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025
@ Author(s) 2025. Published by Kaduna State University Library

examples demonstrate how personalization can enhance user engagement and health outcomes by providing relevant and actionable information (Shah & Toh, 2023).

Challenges in Personalizing Health Information Retrieval

Personalizing health information retrieval presents a range of challenges, including privacy and ethical concerns, maintaining accuracy and fairness, addressing technical and interoperability issues, and ensuring user trust and continuous system adaptability.

1. Privacy and Ethical Considerations

- **Data Privacy Concerns**: Collecting and using personal health data necessitates strict adherence to privacy laws and regulations like GDPR and HIPAA. Ensuring user consent and data anonymization are critical to maintaining trust. For instance, GDPR mandates explicit consent for data usage and imposes stringent fines for breaches (European Union, 2016).
- **Ethical Issues**: The potential for misuse of sensitive health information raises ethical concerns. Users must be assured that their data is used solely for enhancing their experience and not for any unauthorized purposes. Ethical frameworks need to be established to guide the responsible use of personal health data (Sweeney, 2013).

2. Balancing Personalization with Information Accuracy and Reliability

- **Ensuring Information Quality**: Personalizing information should not compromise the accuracy and reliability of the content. Tailored recommendations must be sourced from reputable and verified medical sources. Inaccurate information can lead to harmful health decisions (Hesse, 2005).
- **Avoiding Overfitting**: Personalization algorithms need to avoid overfitting to the user's past behaviour, which could limit exposure to a broader range of relevant information. Overfitting can lead to the reinforcement of unhealthy behaviours or misinformation (El Emam & Arbuckle, 2013).

3. Addressing Biases in Personalized Health Information

- **Algorithmic Bias**: Personalization algorithms can unintentionally incorporate biases present in the training data, leading to skewed or discriminatory health information recommendations. For example, biases in training data can result in unequal healthcare delivery (Obermeyer, 2019).

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

- **Diverse Representation**: Ensuring that the system recognizes and appropriately addresses the needs of all user groups, including marginalized or underserved populations, is essential for equitable health information dissemination (Friedman & Nissenbaum, 1996).

4. Technical Challenges in Implementing Scalable Personalization Solutions

- **Data Integration**: Integrating diverse data sources (e.g., user behaviour, health records, demographic data) into a cohesive personalization system poses significant technical challenges. Effective integration requires sophisticated data management and harmonization techniques (De Moor, 2015).
- **Real-Time Personalization**: Delivering personalized content in real-time requires robust computational resources and efficient algorithms capable of processing large volumes of data quickly. Real-time processing is critical for timely and relevant health information (Liu, 2017).
- **Scalability**: The system must be scalable to handle a growing number of users and increasingly complex data inputs without performance degradation. Scalability issues can hinder the effectiveness of personalization at larger scales (Dean & Ghemawat, 2008).

5. Interoperability with Other Health Technologies

- **Compatibility Issues**: Ensuring the personalized health information system can seamlessly integrate and operate with other health technologies such as electronic health records (EHRs), wearables, and telehealth platforms. Compatibility is crucial for a holistic view of patient health (Benson, 2010).
- **Standardization**: The lack of standardization in data formats and protocols across different health technologies can hinder effective interoperability and data exchange. Standards like HL7 and FHIR aim to address these issues (Mandel, 2016).

6. User Engagement and Trust

- **Building Trust**: Gaining user trust in the system's recommendations and data handling practices is crucial for user engagement and continued use. Trust is influenced by the transparency and reliability of the system (Riek, 2019).
- **User Education**: Educating users about the benefits and functioning of personalized health information systems can enhance acceptance and effective utilization. Clear communication and user-friendly interfaces are essential (Weitzman, 2021).

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

7. Continuous Adaptation and Improvement

- **Dynamic User Needs**: Users' health information needs can change over time due to factors like new diagnoses, changing health conditions, or evolving health literacy. The system must be capable of dynamically adapting to these changes (Westin, 2020).
- **Feedback Mechanisms**: Implementing effective feedback mechanisms to continuously learn from user interactions and improve personalization accuracy and relevance. Feedback loops are critical for iterative improvement (Salganik, 2016).

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Personalized Health Information Systems

Evaluating the effectiveness of personalized health information systems is crucial to ensure they meet user needs and improve health outcomes. This evaluation encompasses various metrics, methods, and continuous improvement strategies.

5.1 Metrics and Methods for Assessing Personalization Effectiveness

1. **User Engagement Metrics**
   - **Click-through Rate (CTR)** and **Time Spent on Platform**: These metrics are commonly used to measure user engagement with personalized content. Research by Li (2020) emphasizes the importance of CTR in assessing the effectiveness of personalized health information systems (Li, 2020).
   - **Number of Pages Viewed**: Reflects the depth of user interaction with the system, indicating interest and engagement levels (Bashir, 2021).
2. **User Satisfaction and Experience**
   - **Surveys and Questionnaires**: These methods gather direct feedback on user satisfaction with personalized features. According to a study by Laranjo (2020), user satisfaction surveys can provide valuable insights into the perceived usefulness and relevance of personalized health information (Laranjo, 2020).
   - **Net Promoter Score (NPS)**: Assesses user loyalty and satisfaction with the system, offering a quantitative measure of overall user experience (Choi, 2021).
3. **Personalization Accuracy and Relevance**
   - **Precision and Recall**: These metrics evaluate the accuracy of personalized recommendations in delivering relevant health information to users (Zhang, 2022).

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

- **A/B Testing**: Comparative testing of different personalization strategies helps identify the most effective approaches in improving user engagement and satisfaction (He, 2021).

4. **Health Outcomes and Behavioural Changes**
   - **Self-Reported Health Improvements**: Users' perceptions of health improvements due to personalized health information systems are often assessed through self-reported surveys and interviews (Amante et al., 2020).
   - **Behavioural Tracking**: Monitoring changes in user behaviours, such as increased physical activity or improved medication adherence, provides insights into the system's impact on health-related behaviours (Wong, 2021).

5.2 User Satisfaction and Engagement

1. **Qualitative Feedback**
   - **Interviews and Focus Groups**: In-depth qualitative studies help understand users' experiences, preferences, and challenges with personalized health information systems (Agarwal, 2021).
   - **Case Studies**: Detailed analysis of individual user experiences can highlight specific benefits and areas for improvement in personalized health information delivery (Laumer, 2022).
2. **Quantitative Data Analysis**
   - **Statistical Analysis**: Utilizing statistical methods to analyse large datasets provides insights into user behaviour patterns and system performance metrics (Zeng, 2021).
   - **Data Mining**: Extracting meaningful patterns and trends from user interaction data supports continuous improvement of personalized health information systems (Kong, 2021).

5.3 Health Outcomes and Behavioural Changes

1. **Behavioural Metrics**
   - **Adherence to Health Recommendations**: Tracking user adherence to personalized health recommendations helps assess the system's impact on promoting healthier behaviours (Park, 2021).

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

  - **Changes in Lifestyle Habits**: Monitoring changes in user-reported lifestyle habits, validated through longitudinal studies, provides evidence of behavioural changes facilitated by personalized health information systems (Wang, 2022).

2. **Clinical Metrics**
   - **Improvement in Health Indicators**: Assessing changes in clinical health indicators, such as blood pressure or glucose levels, demonstrates the system's effectiveness in improving health outcomes (Chung, 2020).
   - **Medical Records Analysis**: Analysing electronic health records (EHRs) can provide objective measures of health improvements associated with personalized health information use (Sinnenberg, 2021).

5.4 Continuous Improvement and Feedback Mechanisms

1. **Regular System Updates**
   - **Algorithm Refinement**: Iterative refinement of personalization algorithms based on user feedback and new data improves the relevance and accuracy of recommendations (Zhao, 2020).
   - **Content Updates**: Ensuring that health information remains current and evidence-based is crucial for maintaining the system's credibility and usefulness (He, 2022).
2. **User Feedback Loops**
   - **Feedback Channels**: Establishing accessible channels for user feedback, such as in-app surveys and user forums, facilitates continuous improvement based on user needs and preferences (Cheng, 2021).
   - **User Panels**: Engaging users in advisory panels or co-design processes can provide ongoing insights into user expectations and enhance system usability (Mirkovic, 2021).

5.5 Case Studies and Best Practices

1. **Successful Implementations**
   - **Case Studies**: Analysing successful implementations of personalized health information systems across different populations and contexts provides insights into effective strategies and outcomes (Ozdalga, 2020).

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

- **Comparative Analysis**: Benchmarking against industry standards and best practices helps identify opportunities for improvement and innovation in personalized health information delivery (Kumar, 2022).

By integrating these metrics, methods, and feedback mechanisms, stakeholders can effectively evaluate and enhance personalized health information systems to better meet diverse user needs and improve health outcomes.

Future Directions and Innovations in Tailoring Online Health Information Retrieval Systems

The future of online health information retrieval lies in the integration of emerging technologies, user-centred design, and global collaboration to create personalized, accessible, and effective systems that enhance individual health outcomes and user engagement.

1. **Emerging Technologies in Personalized Health Information Retrieval**
   Emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), and Natural Language Processing (NLP) are transforming personalized online health information systems by analysing vast data sets to provide tailored recommendations and improve query understanding, while AI-powered chatbots enhance user accessibility and engagement (Sun & Reddy, 2020; Lee, 2021; Miner, 2016).
2. **Integration with Other Health Technologies**
   The integration of data from wearable devices, telehealth platforms, and Electronic Health Records (EHRs) enables personalized health information systems to deliver more relevant, real-time, and context-aware health insights tailored to individual user profiles (Piwek, 2016; Smith, 2020; Rosenbloom, 2021).
3. **Potential for Hyper-Personalization and Predictive Analytics**
   Hyper-personalization uses detailed data such as genetics and lifestyle to deliver highly specific health recommendations, while predictive analytics anticipates future health needs by identifying patterns in user data for proactive intervention (Khoury, 2018; Shickel, 2018).
4. **Enhancing User Engagement and Experience**
   Strategies like gamification, personalized content delivery formats, and feedback loops are enhancing user engagement and satisfaction by making health information systems more interactive, user-friendly, and responsive to individual learning preferences (King, 2013; Kirkpatrick, 2020; Brahimi & Sarirete, 2015).
5. **Policy and Regulation Impacts on Future Developments**

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

Future innovations in personalized health systems must prioritize data privacy, ethical fairness, and compliance with evolving regulations such as GDPR and HIPAA to maintain trust and equitable access for all users (Costa, 2017; Floridi, 2018; Davenport & Kalakota, 2019).

6. **Research and Development in Personalized Health Information Systems**

   Interdisciplinary collaboration and user-centred design are essential for developing effective personalized health systems, supported by longitudinal studies to evaluate their long-term impact on health behaviours and outcomes (Topol, 2019; Gulliksen, 2003; Friedman, 2014).

7. **Global and Cross-Cultural Perspectives**

   To ensure global relevance, personalized health systems must be localized for diverse cultures and healthcare contexts, address disparities in digital access, and promote international partnerships for knowledge sharing and equitable innovation (Boulos, 2006; Gibbons, 2011; Kickbusch, 2003).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the future of tailoring online health information retrieval systems to diverse user needs lies in the strategic integration of emerging technologies, cross-disciplinary research, and adherence to ethical and regulatory standards. AI, ML, and NLP will enhance personalization accuracy, while wearable devices and telehealth integration will provide real-time, context-specific health information. Emphasizing user-centred design and continuous feedback will ensure these systems meet individual preferences and improve health outcomes. Global collaborations and localization efforts are essential to address disparities and provide equitable access. As these innovations evolve, maintaining user privacy and addressing biases will be critical to building trust and maximizing the potential of personalized health information systems.

References

Amante, D. J. (2020). Patient portals as a tool for health care engagement: A mixed-methods study of older adults with varying levels of health literacy and prior patient portal use. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *22*(5), e18831.

Bashir, S. (2021). Predictive analytics for precision health: How data science can enable the delivery of precise, proactive, and personalized healthcare. *International Journal of Information Management*, *56*, 102289.

Benson, T. (2010*). Principles of health interoperability HL7 and SNOMED*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Berkman, N. D. (2023). Low health literacy and health outcomes. *Annual Review of Public Health*, *44*, 119-138.

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

Boulos, M. N. K., Maramba, I., & Wheeler, S. (2006). Wikis, blogs and podcasts: A new generation of Web-based tools for virtual collaborative clinical practice and education. *BMC Medical Education, 6*(1), 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6920-6-41

Brahimi, T., & Sarirete, A. (2015). Learning outside the classroom through MOOCs. *Computers in Human Behaviour, 51*, 604-609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.013

Chung, A. E. (2020). Potential and challenges in using mobile health for the care of undocumented immigrants in the United States. *Health Affairs*, 39(7), 1205-1212.

Costa, L. (2017). Privacy and the precautionary principle. *Computer Law & Security Review, 33*(2), 148-156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2016.11.015

Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthcare Journal, 6*(2), 94-98. https://doi.org/10.7861/futurehosp.6-2-94

De Moor, G., Sundgren, M., Kalra, D., Schmidt, A., Dugas, M., Claerhout, B. & Rector, A. (2015). Using electronic health records for clinical research: The case of the EHR4CR project. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 53*, 162-173.

Dean, J., & Ghemawat, S. (2008). MapReduce: Simplified data processing on large clusters. *Communications of the ACM, 51*(1), 107-113.

Denecke, K., & Gabarron, E. (2023). Personalization in online health information retrieval. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 25(4), e27678.

El Emam, K., & Arbuckle, L. (2013). *Anonymizing health data: Case studies and methods to get you started.* O'Reilly Media, Inc.

European Union. (2016). General data protection regulation (GDPR). *Official Journal of the European Union, L119,* 1-88.

Floridi, L. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines, 28*(4), 689-707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Friedman, B., & Nissenbaum, H. (1996). Bias in computer systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS), 14*(3), 330-347.

Friedman, C. P. (2014). Toward an information infrastructure for global health improvement. *National Academy of Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.17226/13255

Gibbons, M. C. (2021). Consumer health informatics: Informing consumers and improving health care. *Medical Decision Making, 31*(6), 802-808. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X11422113

Gulliksen, J. (2003). Key principles for user-centred systems design. *Behaviour & Information Technology, 22*(6), 397-409. https://doi.org/10.1080/01449290310001624329

Hale, T. M., & Gonzalez, R. V. (2023). Cultural competency in health information systems. *Journal of Health Communication*, *28*(2), 123-135.

He, D. (2021). A personalized knowledge graph-based recommendation method for digital health service platforms. *Information Processing & Management*, *4*(3), 102511.

Hesse, B. W., Nelson, D. E., Kreps, G. L., Croyle, R. T., Arora, N. K., Rimer, B. K., & Viswanath, K. (2005). Trust and sources of health information. *Archives of Internal Medicine, 165*(22), 2618-2624.

Khoury, M. J. (2018). Precision public health: Between promise and reality. *American Journal of Public Health, 108*(6), 716-719. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304351

Kickbusch, I. (2014). The contribution of the World Health Organization to a new public health and health promotion. *American Journal of Public Health, 93*(3), 383-388. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.93.3.383

King, D. (2013). Gamification: Influencing health behaviours with games. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 106*(3), 76-78. https://doi.org/10.1177/0141076813480996

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

Kirkpatrick, E. M. (2020). Health information personalization preferences: An online survey of website experiences. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 20*(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-01223-2

Kreuter, M. W., & Wray, R. J. (2023). Psychographic targeting in health communication. *health psychology review*, *17*(2), 167-182.

Laranjo, L. (2020). The influence of social networking sites on health behavior change: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 27(2), 274-288.

Lee, J. H. (2022). Enhancing Health Outcomes through AI Personalization. *Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research*, 6(2), 123-145.

Lee, J. (2021). A natural language processing and machine learning approach to enhance patient information understanding. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 114*, 103679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2020.103679

Li, H. (2020). A novel recommendation model integrating social context and personal health information for chronic disease self-management. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 50(3), 215-224.

Liu, J., Nie, L., Zhang, M., & Rosenblum, D. S. (2017). Event-based social networks: Linking the online and offline social worlds. In Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 1(CSCW), 1-23.

Mackert, M. (2023). Tailoring Health Communication to Health Literacy Levels. *Health Communication*, 38(1), 45-57.

Mandel, J. C., Kreda, D. A., Mandl, K. D., Kohane, I. S., & Ramoni, R. B. (2016). SMART on FHIR: a standards-based, interoperable apps platform for electronic health records. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 23*(5), 899-908.

Miner, A. S. (2016). Smartwatch-based symptom assessment during cancer therapy. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 18*(6), e202. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5399

Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science, 366*(6464), 447-453.

Patel, V. (2023). The Future of Personalized Health Information Retrieval. *Healthcare Innovation*, 8(1), 67-81.

Piwek, L. (2016). The rise of consumer health wearables: Promises and barriers. *PLOS Medicine, 13*(2), e1001953. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001953

Richards, N. M., & Hartzog, W. (2023). Privacy and Ethics in Health Information Personalization. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 49(1), 25-34.

Riek, L. D., Howard, D., & Robinson, P. (2019). When my robot smiles at me: Enabling human-robot rapport via real-time head gesture mimicry. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces, 3*(1-2), 99-108.

Rosenbloom, S. T. (2021). Patient portals: Enhancing the healthcare experience. *Computers in Biology and Medicine, 41*(11), 1004-1008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.11.002

Salganik, M. J., Dodds, P. S., & Watts, D. J. (2016). Experimental study of inequality and unpredictability in an artificial cultural market. *Science, 311*(5762), 854-856.

Shah, S. G., & Toh, M. P. (2023). Personalized Health Information for Chronic Disease Management. *Chronic Illness*, 19(1), 23-37.

Shah, S. G., & Toh, M. P. (2023). Personalized Health Information for Chronic Disease Management. *Chronic Illness*, 19(1), 23-37.

Shickel, B. (2018). Deep learning for electronic health records: A review. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 83*, 314-327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2017.12.007

Sinnenberg, L. (2021). Twitter as a potential data source for cardiovascular disease research. *JAMA Cardiology*, 6(6), 685-686.

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025

Smith, A. C. (2020). Telehealth for global emergencies: Implications for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X20916567

Smith, A., & Karsh, B. T. (2022). Evaluating User Engagement in Personalized Health Systems. *Human Factors*, 64(5), 873-890.

Smith, A., & Karsh, B. T. (2022). Evaluating User Engagement in Personalized Health Systems. *Human Factors*, 64(5), 873-890.

Sweeney, L. (2013). Discrimination in online ad delivery. Queue, 11(3), 10.

Wang, L. (2022). A machine learning approach for personalized recommendation in healthcare system. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 141, 105065.

Weitzman, E. R., Kaci, L., & Mandl, K. D. (2021). Sharing medical data for health research: the early personal health record experience. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 13*(1), e14.

Wentz, B. (2023). Accessibility in Health Information Systems. *Disability and Health Journal*, 16(1), 100937.

Westin, A. F. (2020). Social and political dimensions of privacy. *Journal of Social Issues, 59*(2), 431-453.

Yang, Q. (2023). AI-Driven Personalization in Health Information Systems. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 135, 102332.

Zhang, Z. (2022). Machine learning in medicine: A practical introduction. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 22(S1), 3-15.

Received: March 15, 2025. Accepted: June 16, 2025